

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

LEE E. LEMON,

Plaintiff,

v.

**DECISION AND ORDER**  
15-CV-85S

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

---

Plaintiff Lee E. Lemon is a prevailing party in this social security benefits action. Presently before this Court is Plaintiff's counsel's Motion for Attorney Fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406 (b)(1)(A). (Docket No. 17.) Defendant does not oppose the motion. (Docket No. 21.)

Forty-two U.S.C. § 406 (b)(1)(A) provides as follows:

Whenever a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant under this subchapter who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment, and the Commissioner of Social Security may, notwithstanding the provisions of section 405(i) of this title, but subject to subsection (d) of this section, certify the amount of such fee for payment to such attorney out of, and not in addition to, the amount of such past-due benefits. In case of any such judgment, no other fee may be payable or certified for payment for such representation except as provided in this paragraph.

Plaintiff was awarded past-due benefits, from which the Social Security Administration withheld \$23,239.20 to pay attorney fees. (Affirmation of Lewis L. Schwartz ("Schwartz Aff."), Docket No. 17-2, ¶ 11 and Exhibit B.) Plaintiff's counsel

seeks \$13,239.20 in fees, consistent with the contingent-fee agreement that provides for attorney fees not to exceed 25% of any recovery. (Lewis Aff., ¶ 2 and Exhibit 1.)

Having thoroughly reviewed counsel's fee request and supporting documentation, this Court finds that the requested fee is reasonable based on counsel's experience in social security law, the character of the representation provided, and the favorable results achieved. See Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789, 808, 1122 S. Ct. 1817, 152 L. Ed. 2d 996 (2002). Moreover, there is no indication that this fee is a windfall. Id. Plaintiff's counsel's \$13,239.20 fee request is therefore granted under 42 U.S.C. § 406 (b)(1)(A).

By stipulation approved and ordered on October 17, 2017, this Court previously awarded Plaintiff's counsel \$4,700 in fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (d). (Docket Nos. 14, 15.) Because the fee granted herein exceeds the EAJA fee, Plaintiff's counsel must refund the EAJA fee to Plaintiff. See Wells v. Bowen, 855 F.2d 37, 42 (2d Cir. 1988).

IT HEREBY IS ORDERED, that Plaintiff's counsel's Motion for Attorney Fees in the amount of \$13,239.20 under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A) (Docket No. 17) is GRANTED.

FURTHER, that Plaintiff's counsel is directed to refund the \$4,700 EAJA fee to Plaintiff within 14 days of the entry date of this Decision and Order if he has not already

done so.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 5, 2019  
Buffalo, New York

/s/William M. Skretny  
WILLIAM M. SKRETNY  
United States District Judge